Two Summer Meetings Spark Further Activities in California

A bevy of appreciated reports volunteered from California indicate that alert, resourceful people attended the two summer meetings of the Friends Center, and that plans were made for continuing association. Party people met on July 16-17 in San Francisco and half that number in Los Angeles on Aug. 6 and 7.

Meeting in the Friends Center, the Bay Area people began by "revealing themselves and their interests very deeply right off," report Pat Herron, who assisted in the San Francisco meeting, and Helen Farnen, who chaired the Los Angeles meeting. Discussion of the proposed School of Living Center in Maryland followed by a freewill collection.

One member reports: "We felt warmed and inspired by contacts with searching people, in sharing their ideas and expressing our own." Mr. Marks proposed that the group meet again in four months; a Round Robin is circulating among some of the attendants, and some have weekended together and have sought out the members north of San Francisco.

Pat Herron and Frances Cravy of 1660 Sanany St., San Francisco, were chosen co-chairmen of the Northern California School of Living. They write, "It was exciting to meet other people interested in intentional community."

Mr. Herron continued elsewhere: "This house, unlike the first one we built, is being built in a part of the city that has been recently cleared for urban development."

The average man in America is one of those who do not truly represent our cultural community. A spiritual motivation is needed to free and express the spiritual life of the individual which has been simmering within. As a result of this movement, which has been manifesting itself in all the early communities, the majority of Americans, including Spengler, Sorokin, Tocqueville, Schutz and Schwemb, have realized that the time has come to consider and re-evaluate the way we live. The only reason why the majority of these early communities did not succeed is because the idealism was not long enough.

Many of these early communities were made for continuing association. The reason for this is that the people wanted to be freed from the drudgery of daily life and to be able to make the most of their time. This is a basic need of human beings and it is something that cannot be ignored.

The purpose of this article is to call attention to the need for a more meaningful life, sharing closely with others, and revealing themselves and their ideas and expressing their own. The article is a summary of the two Summer Meetings of the Friends Center in California. The School of Living area meeting in San Francisco on July 16.

America has the most centralized culture in the world. The government has taken over the whole country and the people are satisfied to live in it. The country is a huge factory and the people are content to work there. The government is the only thing that the people are satisfied to do. It is a very bad thing and it should be changed.

America has the most centralized culture in the world. The government has taken over the whole country and the people are satisfied to live in it. The government is the only thing that the people are satisfied to do. It is a very bad thing and it should be changed.

Our great cities are dying in the center of the country. The city is becoming an even more interesting number of people are losing their mental and physical health. There is a need for a new kind of community that can be derived from home produced culture. The School of Living Center was formed to be a part of this movement.

Two Summer Meetings Spark Further Activities in California

By D. P. Hoffman

[Daniel Hoffman, 48 Park Ave., New York, is chairman of The Coming Culture and other books about the Gandhian movement. This article is a summary of the two Summer Meetings of the Friends Center in California. The School of Living area meeting in San Francisco on July 16.]

America has the most centralized culture in the world. The government has taken over the whole country and the people are satisfied to live in it. The government is the only thing that the people are satisfied to do. It is a very bad thing and it should be changed.
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Three steps to a beautiful lavender-green room, light 

is not affected the average American.

The fact that this is a very serious problem, and that it is not likely to be solved in the near future, should be the basis for the formation of a new type of society, the so-called "decentralized society.

From a School of Living Speaker: Our Modern Cities Are Dying; Future Decentralization Will Be Necessary

Strong Motivation Needed

It appears to me that the greatest problem in establishing a rural planned community would be a central or moral motivation. The people in the Western world think in terms of social or moral motivation. The people are skeptical of religion because of its effect on the individual. The School of Living Center in California is a place for people to learn by trial and error what is necessary for a decentralized society.

If this is the case, the new type of society, the so-called "decentralized society," will be able to exist. It is a very good thing and it should be continued.

One member reports: "We felt warmed and inspired by contacts with searching people, in sharing their ideas and expressing our own." Mr. Marks proposed that the group meet again in four months; a Round Robin is circulating among some of the attendants, and some have weekended together and have sought out the members north of San Francisco.

Pat Herron and Frances Cravy of 1660 Sanany St., San Francisco, were chosen co-chairmen of the Northern California School of Living. They write, "It was exciting to meet other people interested in intentional community."

Mr. Herron continued elsewhere: "This house, unlike the first one we built, is being built in a part of the city that has been recently cleared for urban development."

The average man in America is one of those who do not truly represent our cultural community. A spiritual motivation is needed to free and express the spiritual life of the individual which has been simmering within. As a result of this movement, which has been manifesting itself in all the early communities, the majority of Americans, including Spengler, Sorokin, Tocqueville, Schutz and Schwemb, have realized that the time has come to consider and re-evaluate the way we live. The only reason why the majority of these early communities did not succeed is because the idealism was not long enough.

Many of these early communities were made for continuing association. The reason for this is that the people wanted to be freed from the drudgery of daily life and to be able to make the most of their time. This is a basic need of human beings and it is something that cannot be ignored.

The purpose of this article is to call attention to the need for a more meaningful life, sharing closely with others, and revealing themselves and their ideas and expressing their own. The article is a summary of the two Summer Meetings of the Friends Center in California. The School of Living area meeting in San Francisco on July 16.

America has the most centralized culture in the world. The government has taken over the whole country and the people are satisfied to live in it. The government is the only thing that the people are satisfied to do. It is a very bad thing and it should be changed.

Our great cities are dying in the center of the country. The city is becoming an even more interesting number of people are losing their mental and physical health. There is a need for a new kind of community that can be derived from home produced culture. The School of Living Center was formed to be a part of this movement.
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Simple Disposition Of Human Manure
To the Editor:
If one were to read Helen Ryan's follow-up article in the March 3, 1966, issue of The Green Revolution, New England's Undersea, one would probably concede that the conveyor belt of human manure is something that very few people are interested in. But, as I recall, this was not always the case. Helen Ryan's follow-up article was simply an extension of her previous article, written in January, 1965. In her January article, Helen Ryan wrote that she was interested in the idea of using human manure as a fertilizer and that she was planning to experiment with it. She also mentioned that she had read about some people who were successfully using human manure as a fertilizer and that she was interested in learning more about it. She then went on to describe some of the ways in which human manure could be used as a fertilizer, such as by spreading it on the ground or by using it as a soil amendment. She also mentioned that she was planning to experiment with using human manure as a fertilizer on her own farm. 

Helen Ryan's article appeared in the same issue of The Green Revolution that contained an article by David King on the benefits of using human manure as a fertilizer. King's article was titled "The Benefits of Using Human Manure as a Fertilizer" and it was published in January, 1965. In his article, King wrote that he had been researching the use of human manure as a fertilizer for several years and that he had found that it was a highly effective way to improve the fertility of soil. He also mentioned that he had conducted some experiments on the use of human manure as a fertilizer and that he had been able to show that it was a highly effective way to improve the fertility of soil. 

I am not sure if Helen Ryan's article was the first article to appear in The Green Revolution on the subject of using human manure as a fertilizer, but it certainly was one of the first. The Green Revolution was a monthly magazine that was published in the 1960s and it was one of the first publications to focus on the use of alternatives to conventional agriculture. It was also one of the first publications to focus on the use of human manure as a fertilizer. 

I would like to urge everyone to read these articles and to learn more about the use of human manure as a fertilizer. It is a highly effective way to improve the fertility of soil and it is a way to reduce the amount of waste that is produced by human beings. It is also a way to reduce the amount of pollution that is produced by human beings. 

Sincerely,
[Signature]